#### European Environment Agency European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity



## Larus argentatus

Annex I No International action plan No

European Herring Gull, *Larus argentatus*, is a species of seabird found in unvegetated or sparsely vegetated land, river and lake and coastal ecosystems.

Larus argentatus has a breeding population size of 414000-493000 pairs and a breeding range size of 934000 square kilometres in the EU27. The breeding population trend in the EU27 is Decreasing in the short term and Decreasing in the long term.

The EU population status of *Larus argentatus* was assessed as Threatened, as the species meets one or more of the IUCN Red List criteria for threatened at the EU27 scale.


## Larus argentatus

Report under the Article 12 of the Birds Directive

# Assessment of status at the European level

Breeding population size	Breeding population trend		Range	Breeding range trend		Winter	Winter population trend		_Population
	Short term	Long term	area	Short term	Long term	population size	Short term	Long term	status
414000 - 493000 p	_	_	934000						Threatened


See the endnotes for more information<sup>i</sup>




## Trends at the Member State level

MS/Ter. % in		Breeding	Breeding population trend		_ Range _	Breeding range trend		_ Winter	Winter population trend	
MS/Ter. EU27	population size	Short term	Long term	area	Short term	Long term	population size	Short term	Long term	
BE	0.1	2037 - 2857 p	+	+	1100	+	X	25000 - 35000 i	X	X
DE	3.6	29000 - 36000 p	-	0	19616	0	0	200000 - 200000 i	-	-
DK	2.9	65000 - 65000 p	+	+	30894	0	+			
EE	4.8	20000 - 30000 p	-	+	54700	0	+			
FI	38.1	25000 - 35000 p	-	-	332800	X	+			
FR	3.7	53050 - 55875 p	-	F	36500	0	+			
IE	2.8	2319 - 2319 p	-	-	19500	+	0	9734 - 9734 i	X	X
LT	0.4	300 - 400 p	+	+	7900	-	+			
LV	2.6	2000 - 2500 p	+	+	43379	X	+			
NL	1.8	43000 - 60000 p	-	-	20337	0	+			
PL		2700 - 3000 p	+	+		X	X	60000 - 65000 i	X	+
SE	14.9	40000 - 70000 p	-	-	247600	0	X			
UK	24.2	130000 - 130000 p	-	_	120600	-	+			

See the endnotes for more information<sup>ii</sup>









## Main pressures and threats reported by Member States

For the bird species triggering SPA classification Member States were asked to report the 20 most important pressures and threats using an agreed hierarchical list which can be found on the Article 12 Reference Portal (http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/activities/Reporting/Article\_12/reference\_portal). Pressures are activities which are currently having an impact on the species and threats are activities expected to have an impact in the near future. The table below only contains information from Member States, where a species triggers SPA classification. Pressures and threats were ranked in three classes 'high, medium and low importance', the table below only shows pressures and threats classed as 'high', for some species there were less than ten pressures and threats reported as highly important.

#### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' pressures and threats

No 'highly important' pressures or threats were reported.

## Proportion of population covered by the Natura 2000 network

For the bird species triggering SPA classification Member States were asked to report the size of a species population occurring within the Natura 2000 network. The percentage of species population covered by the network was estimated by comparing the population size within the network and the total population size.

#### Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites

MS/territory	season	SPA trigger	% coverage	
BE	breeding	NO		
BE	winter	YES	х	
DE	breeding	NO		
DE	winter	NO		
DK	breeding	NO		
EE	breeding	NO		
FI	breeding	NO		
FR	breeding	YES	55.1	
IE	breeding	YES	68.31	
IE	winter	YES	69.65	
LT	breeding	NO		
LV	breeding	NO		
NL	breeding	NO		
PL	breeding	YES	20.28	
PL	winter	YES	58.4	
SE	breeding	NO		
UK	breeding	YES	12.56	

See the endnotes for more information iii

## Most frequently reported conservation measures

For the bird species triggering SPA classification Member States were asked to report up to 20 conservation measures being implemented for this species using an agreed list which can be found on the Article 12 Reference Portal. Member States were further requested to highlight up to five most important ('highly important') measures; the table below only shows measures classed as 'high', for many species there were less than ten measures reported as highly important.

#### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' conservation measures

Code	Measure	Frequency
6.3	Legal protection of habitats and species	45
6.1	Establish protected areas/sites	27
4.4	Restoring coastal areas	9
7.1	Regulation/ Management of hunting and taking	9
7.4	Specific single species or species group management measures	9

This information is derived from the Member State national reports submitted to the European Commission under Article 12 of the Birds Directive in 2013 and covering the period 2008-2012. More detailed information, including the MS reports, is available at: <a href="http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/article12/summary?period=1&subject=A184">http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/article12/summary?period=1&subject=A184</a>.

### Larus argentatus

Report under the Article 12 of the Birds Directive

<sup>i</sup> Assessment of status at the European level: The EU assessments of birds population status was made by the European Red List of Birds Consortium (under contract with the European Commission)

The EU27 population trends were assessed using these categories: '+' Increasing, '0' Stable, 'F' Fluctuating, '-' Decreasing, 'xu' Uncertain and 'x' Unknown. The breeding population size is estimated in majority of the cases as 'p' number of pairs. Alternative population units used are: 'males' number of males, 'i' number of individuals, 'cmales' number of calling males and 'bfem' number of breeding females. The winter population size is estimated as number of individuals.

<sup>ii</sup>Species trends at the Member State level: The percentage of the EU27 species population occurring in the Member States (% in EU27) is calculated based on the population size reported by the Member States.

iiiPercentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites: In some cases the population size within the Natura 2000 network has been estimated using a different methodology to the estimate of overall population size and this can lead to percentage covers greater than 100%. In such case the value has been given as 100% and highlighted with an asterisk (\*). The value 'x' indicates that the Member State has not reported the species population and/or the coverage by Natura 2000. No information is available for Greece and for non-Annex I species in the Czech Republic.