A3.3y Pontic sheltered, shaded upper infralittoral rock, with sciaphilic algae

Summary

The habitat is present in the Black Sea on areas of shaded upper infralittoral rock. It is not present in the Sea of Marmara. Eutrophication is the main historic pressure on this habitat. Additional pressures include: chemical pollution. Conservation and management measures relevant to this habitat include: measures to maintain physical and biological integrity, improvement of water quality, pollution event response strategies, survey and monitoring programmes, raised public awareness, enhanced legal protection, measures to reduce global warming.

Synthesis

Detailed information on the abundance and extent of this habitat is lacking. Information on the quantity and quality of this habitat including historical or recent trends is unknown. For the purposes of Red List assessment this habitat is considered to be Data Deficient.

Overall Category & Criteria									
EU 28 EU 28+									
Red List Category	Red List Criteria	Red List Category	Red List Criteria						
Data Deficient - Data Deficient -									

Sub-habitat types that may require further examination

None

Habitat Type

Code and name

A3.3y Pontic sheltered, shaded upper infralittoral rock, with sciaphilic algae

There are currently no photographs available for this habitat.

Habitat description

Present in the upper infralitoral zone in areas sheltered from wave action and currents, as well as where light levels may be low. Algal cover is limited and patchy and includes species tolerant of low light levels but with weak attachment and which therefore cannot survive in wave exposed conditons. These sciaphilic algae generally form diffuse communities with low coverage.

Indicators of quality:

There are no commonly agreed indicators of quality for this habitat, although particular parameters may have been set in certain situations e.g. protected features within Natura 2000 sites, where reference values have been determined and applied on a location-specific basis.

Characteristic species:

Peyssonnelia squamaria, Phyllophora crispa and, Delesseria ruscifolia.

Classification

This habitat may be equivalent to, or broader than, or narrower than the habitats or ecosystems in the following typologies.

EUNIS (2004):

Level 4. A sub-habitat of 'pnotic infralittoral rock' (A3.3)

Annex 1:

1160 Large shallow inlets and bays

1170 Reefs

8330 Submerged or partially submerged sea caves

MAES:

Marine - Marine inlets and transitional waters

Marine - Coastal

MSFD:

Shallow sublittoral rock and biogenic reef

EUSeaMap:

Shallow photic rock or biogenic reef

Shallow aphotic rock or biogenic reef

IUCN:

9.2 Subtidal rock and rocky reefs

Does the habitat type present an outstanding example of typical characteristics of one or more biogeographic regions?

Unknown

Justification

There is insufficient knowledge and information on this habitat to state whether it is an outstanding example of this biogeographic region.

Geographic occurrence and trends

Region	Present or Presence Uncertain	Current area of habitat	Recent trend in quantity (last 50 yrs)	Recent trend in quality (last 50 yrs)	
Black Sea	Black Sea: Present Sea of Marmara: Uncertain	Unknown Km ²	Unknown	Unknown	

Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy and habitat area

	Extent of Occurrence (EOO)	Area of Occupancy (AOO)	Current estimated Total Area	Comment		
EU 28	Unknown Km ²	Unknown	Unknown Km ²	The habitat is known to occur in the Black Sea but there is insufficient data to accurately calculate EOO and AOO.		
EU 28+	Unknown Km ²	Unknown	Unknown Km ²	The habitat is known to occur in the Black Sea but there is insufficient data to accurately calculate EOO and AOO.		

Distribution map



There is insufficient data to produce a map of the distribution of this habitat.

How much of the current distribution of the habitat type lies within the EU 28?

It is unknown how much of this habitat is hosted by the EU28 in the Black Sea.

Trends in quantity

There is insufficient data to accurately assess changes in quantity of the habitat

- Average current trend in quantity (extent)
 EU 28: Unknown
 EU 28+: Unknown
- Does the habitat type have a small natural range following regression? Unknown Justification

The habitat is known to occur in the Black Sea but there is insufficient data to accurately calculate EOO

and AOO. There is insufficient data to accurately assess whether the habitat has undergone a significant decline (>25% of extent) in the last 50 years.

• Does the habitat have a small natural range by reason of its intrinsically restricted area? Unknown

Justification

There is insufficient data and knowledge on this habitat to state whether it has a small natural range by reason of an intrinsically restricted area.

Trends in quality

There is insufficient data to accurately assess changes in quality of the habitat

Average current trend in quality
 EU 28: Unknown
 EU 28+: Unknown

Pressures and threats

Eutrophication as a result of nutrient enrichment (N, P and organic matter) is the most significant historic pressure on the habitat. Since the 1990s this pressure has reduced due to tighter controls on pollution in the catchment of the Danube and other rivers which enter the north-west Black Sea. Whilst this pressure is now reduced it is still a continuing threat in the current and future periods. This is especially true for non

EU countries surrounding the Black Sea which are not bound by the agreements such as the Water

Framework Directive (WFD).

The habitat is sensitive and vulnerable to chemical pollution which at its most severe can result in species can lead to mortality. High mortality rates can lead to a reduction in extent. Lower mortality rates will result in a reduction in habitat quality. Chemical pollution may also affect the size and growth rate of some of the associated fauna.

List of pressures and threats

Pollution

Nutrient enrichment (N, P, organic matter) Input of contaminants (synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) - diffuse sources, point sources, acute events

Conservation and management

Conservation and management measures which would benefit this habitat include implementing measures to maintain physical and biological integrity, including pollution control and regulation, improvement of water quality management outside EU member states, contingency plans to be followed in the event of a major pollution incident, survey and monitoring programmes, raised public awareness of ecological value and vulnerability.

List of conservation and management needs

Measures related to marine habitats

Other marine-related measures

Measures related to spatial planning

Other spatial measures

Measures related to urban areas, industry, energy and transport

Other measures

Conservation status

Annex 1:

1160: MBLS U1

1170: MBLS U1

When severely damaged, does the habitat retain the capacity to recover its typical character and functionality?

There is insufficient data and knowledge of this habitat to assess its capacity to recover

Effort required

10 years	
Unknown	

Red List Assessment

Criterion A: Reduction in quantity

Criterion A	A1	A2a	A2b	A3
EU 28	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %
EU 28+	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %

There is insufficient data on changes in quantity of this habitat to undertake an assessment using criterion A.

Criterion B: Restricted geographic distribution

Critorion P		B1				B3			
CITCEITOTI D	Criterion B EOO		b	С	A00	а	b	С	DD
EU 28	unknown Km²	Unknown							
EU 28+	unknown Km²	Unknown							

The precise extent of the habitat is unknown. Therefore there is insufficient data to produce EOO and AOO figures.

Criterion C and D: Reduction in abiotic and/or biotic quality

Criteria	C/	D1	C/	D2	C/D3		
C/D	Extent affected	Relative severity	Extent affected	Relative severity	Extent affected	Relative severity	
EU 28	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	
EU 28+	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	

	C	1	C	2	C3		
Criterion C	Extent affected	Relative severity	Extent affected	Relative severity	Extent affected	Relative severity	
EU 28	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	
EU 28+	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	unknown %	

	l	01	l	02	D3		
Criterion D	Extent affected	Relative severity	Extent Relative affected severity		Extent Relative affected severity		
EU 28	unknown %	unknown%	unknown % unknown%		unknown %	unknown%	
EU 28+	unknown %	unknown%	unknown %	unknown%	unknown %	unknown%	

Experts consider there to be insufficient data to conduct an assessment using criteria C/D.

Criterion E: Quantitative analysis to evaluate risk of habitat collapse

Criterion E	Probability of collapse
EU 28	unknown
EU 28+	unknown

There is no quantitative analysis available to estimate the probability of collapse of this habitat type.

Overall assessment "Balance sheet" for EU 28 and EU 28+

	A1	A2a	A2b	A3	B1	B2	B3	C/D1	C/D2	C/D3	C1	C2	C3	D1	D2	D3	E
EU28	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD
EU28+	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD	DD

Overall Category & Criteria									
EU 28 EU 28+									
Red List Category	Red List Criteria	Red List Category	Red List Criteria						
Data Deficient - Data Deficient -									

Confidence in the assessment

Low (mainly based on uncertain or indirect information, inferred and suspected data values, and/or limited expert knowledge)

Assessors

S. Beal, G. Komakhidze, D. Micu, V. Mihneva, N. Milchakova, B. Yokes

Contributors

S. Beal, E. Chernysheva, G. Komakhidze, D. Micu, V. Mihneva, N. Milchakova, B. Yokes

Reviewers

S. Gubbay

Date of assessment 19/03/2015

Date of review 17/12/2015

References

Afanasiev D. F., Korpakova I. G. 2008. Macrophytobenthos Russian Azov-Black Sea., Rostov-on-Don: FGUP AzNIIRH

Anon. 2006. The northwestern part of the Black Sea: biology and ecology. Kiev: Naukova Dumka. 701pp.

Bacescu, M. C., Muller G. I., Gomoiu, M-T. 1971. . Cercetari de ecologie bentica in Marea Neagra (analiza cantitativa, calitativa si comparata a faunei bentice pontice). *Ecologie Marina* vol. IV. Editura Academiei R.S.R., Bucuresti, 357 pp..

Bacescu M., 1977. Les biocenoses benthiques de la Mer Noire. *Biologie des eaux saumatres de la Mer Noire, Premiere partie*: 128-134.

Dimitrova-Konaklieva, S. 2000. Flora of the Marine Algae of Bulgaria (Rhodophyta, Phaeophyta, Chlorophyta). Pensoft, Sofia, Bulgaria.

Kalugina-Gutnik A.A. 1970. *The composition and distribution of benthic vegetation in the southeastern part of the Black Sea*. Ecological and morphological studies of benthic organisms. Kiev: Naukova Dumka, p. 185- 202.

Kalugina-Gutnik A.A. 1975. Phytobenthos of Black Sea, Kiev: Naukova Dumka, 275 p.

Konsulov, A. 1998. *Black Sea Biological Diversity: Bulgaria. Volume 5 of Black Sea environmental series.* United Nations Publications, New York, USA.

Kostenko, N. S. 2003. Some trends of the succession of bottom vegatation in the Karadag area. *Proc. Sciences. Rec. NaUKMA, Ser. "Biologiya and ekologiya*": 429-432.

Micu D, Todorova V., 2007. A fresh look at the western Black Sea biodiversity. MarBEF Newsletter 7:26-28.

Micu, D., Zaharia, T., Todorova, V., Niţă, V. 2007. *Romanian Marine Habitats of European Interest.* Punct Ochit Publishers, Constanţa, Romania.

Micu, D. 2008. Open Sea and Tidal Areas. In: Gafta D. and Mountford J.O. (eds.) *Natura 2000 Habitat Interpretation Manual for Romania*. EU publication no. EuropeAid/121260/D/SV/RO, 101pp. ISBN 978-973-751-697-8.

Micu, D., Zaharia, T., Todorova, V. 2008. Natura 2000 habitat types from the Romanian Black Sea. In: Zaharia T, Micu D, Todorova V, Maximov V, Niţă V. *The development of an indicative ecologically coherent network of marine protected areas in Romania*. Romart Design Publishing, Constanta, Romania.

Moncheva. S., Todorova, V., (eds). 2013. Initial *assessment of the marine environment*. Article 8, MSFD 2008/56/EC and NOOSMV (2010). 500p

Morozova-Vodyanitskaya N. V. 1959. Bottom vegetation of the Black Sea. *Proceedings of the Sevastopol Biological Station* 11: 3 – 28.

Petranu, A. 1997. *Black Sea Biological Diversity: Romania. Volume 4 of the Black Sea Environmental Series.* United Nations Publications, New York, USA.

Salomidi, M., Katsanevakis, S., Damalas, D., Mifsud, R., Todorova, V., Pipitone, C., Fernandez, T. V., Mirto, S., Galparsoro, I., Pascual, M., Borja, Á., Rabaut, M., Braeckman, U. 2010. Monitoring and Evaluation of Spatially Managed Areas. Catalogue of European seabed biotopes. Deliverable 1.2. Available at: http://www.mesma.org/default.asp?ZNT=S0T10-1P24. (Accessed: 19/08/2015).

Teyubova V. F. 2005. Features interannual dynamics species composition and structure macrophytobenthos in the Bay of Novorossiysk (Black sea). *Ekologiya Morya* 69: 53 – 57.

Teyubova V.F. 2012. *The diversity and ecological features macrophytobenthos the Russian sector of the Black Sea.*, Dissertation on competition degree of candidate of biological sciences, 280 pp.

Tkachenko, F. P., Kovtun O. O. 2014. Contemporary condition of seaweeds flora of Zmeiny island costal zone (Black Sea). *Chornomors'k. bot. z.* 10(1): 37-47.

Vershinin, A. 2007. Life in the Black Sea. Maccentr, Moscow, Russia.

Zaika V. E., Boltachev A. R., Zuev G. V., Kovalev A. V., Milchakova N. A., Sergeeva N. G. 2004. Floristic and faunistic changes in the Crimean Black Sea shelf after 1995 – 1998, Marine Ecological Journal, 3(2), p. 37-44.

Zaitsev, Y. P., Alexandrov, B. G. 1998. *Black Sea Biological Diversity: Ukraine. Volume 7 of the Black Sea Environmental Series*. United Nations Publications, New York, USA.