Species name:Silene furcata ssp.
angustif lora
Annex: II, IV

Species group: Plants
Regions: ALP BOR

## Assessments of conservation status at the European level (all biogeographical regions - EU25)



| MS | Region | Conservation status assessment |  |  |  | Population <br> size \& unit | Population <br> Trend |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Range | Population | Habitat | Future <br> prospects |  |  |  |
| EU25 | ALP |  |  |  |  |  | 3000 indiv. | $=$ |
| EU25 | BOR |  |  |  |  | 400 indiv. | - |  |

A plant with a dispersed distribution ranging from Norway to Arctic Russia. In the EU it occurs only in the mountain regions of northern Sweden and Finland. In both countries the species is rare. It grows on calcareous rocks and dry calcareous skeletal soils and gravels.

The conservation status in the Alpine biogeographical region is 'favourable', in the Boreal biogeographical region it is 'unfavourable inadequate'. The major proportion of the population in the Boreal region is in Finland, where population size is on decline, and habitat of the species is deteriorated due to the succession. The species is included in the national red list under the category critically endangered in Finland. Although the conservation status
was assessed as 'favourable' in Sweden the species is considered endangered here mainly due to the isolation of existing population and restricted area of distribution.

## Assessments of conservation status as reported by Member states (all biogeographical regions - EU25)



| MS | Region | Conservation status assessment |  |  |  |  | Size\&unit | Population trend | Data quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Range | Population | Habita | Future prospects | Overall |  |  |  |
| SE | ALP |  |  |  |  |  | 3000-3000 indiv. | = | 1 |
| FI | BOR | FI has | provided a | report | to the Europ | opean | ommission under | Article 17 |  |
| SE | BOR |  |  |  |  |  | 100-100 indiv. | $=$ | 3 |

Data quality is based on as assessment by each Member State, $1=$ good, $2=$ medium, 3 = poor

This information is derived from the Member State national reports submitted to the European Commission under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive in 2007 and covering the period 2001-2006. More detailed information is available at http://biodiversity.eionet.europa.eu/article17

