

**EUROPEAN TOPIC CENTRE ON BIOLOGICAL**

Under contract with the European Environment Agency

**CENTRE THEMATIQUE EUROPEEN SUR LA DIVERSITE**

Sous contract avec l'Agence Europeenne de l'Environnement

**REPORT UNDER ARTICLE 17  
OF COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 92/43/EEC**

**QA/QC REPORT  
ON FINAL DELIVERY FROM  
LATVIA**

Performed upon the envelope called: **LATVIA 2001-2006**  
stored at: <http://cdr.eionet.europa.eu/lv/eu/art17/envrgdigq>  
released on: **02/10/2007 07:58:26**

Museum National  
d'Histoire Naturelle

57 rue Cuvier  
F-75231 Paris cedex 05  
France

Tel. +33.1.40.79.38.70  
Fax. +33.1.40.79.38.67

ctecninf@mnhn.fr  
<http://biodiversity.eionet.eu.int>

<b>IMPORTANT NOTE</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>GENERAL STATISTICS</b> .....	<b>4</b>
Number of reports provided.....	4
List of reported species and habitats that were considered as marginal, occasional, extinct before Directive, etc. ....	4
Number of issues identified during QA/QC process .....	4
<b>GENERAL REPORT - Data not compliant with QA/QC procedures</b> .....	<b>5</b>
3.1 Management tools - Art. 6(1) - Management plans and Management bodies .....	5
3.3 Management tools - Art. 6(1) - Non-territorial planning instruments .....	5
6. Measures taken in relation to approval of plans and projects - Art. 6(3,4).....	5
10.2 Measures taken to ensure the protection of species (Arts. 12 to 16) - Control systems for the incidental capture and killing of species (Art. 12(4)) .....	5
<b>HABITATS REPORTING - Errors detected by QA/QC procedure</b> .....	<b>5</b>
2.5.1 Favourable reference habitat range .....	5
2.5.2 Favourable reference habitat area .....	5
2.6.1 Conclusion for habitat range.....	6
2.6.2 Conclusion for habitat area .....	6
<b>SPECIES REPORTING - Errors detected by QA/QC procedure</b> .....	<b>6</b>
2.3.5 Species range trend magnitude - optional .....	6
2.6 Future prospects for the species (not conclusion) .....	6
2.7.1 Favourable reference species range .....	7
2.7.2 Favourable reference species population.....	8
2.8.1 Conclusion for species range .....	8
2.8.2 Conclusion for species population.....	8
2.8.5 Conclusion for species overall assessment.....	9
<b>SPECIES REPORTING - Assessments given as unknown or not provided for all parameters</b> .....	<b>9</b>
2.8.5 Conclusion for species overall assessment.....	9
<b>HABITATS REPORTING - Mandatory data not reported or not following the agreed format</b> .....	<b>9</b>
0. General issues .....	9
2.5.3 Typical species for habitat.....	10
<b>SPECIES REPORTING - Mandatory data not reported or not following the agreed format</b> .....	<b>10</b>
2.5.2 Species habitat area estimation .....	10
2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species .....	10
<b>HABITATS REPORTING - QA/QC indicates incoherence between spatial and non-spatial data or with other data officially submitted</b> .....	<b>10</b>
0. General issues .....	10
2.3.1 Surface range of the habitat .....	10
<b>SPECIES REPORTING - QA/QC indicates incoherence between spatial and non-spatial data or with other data officially submitted</b> .....	<b>11</b>
0. General issues .....	11
1.2 Map of Species distribution .....	11
<b>Spatial Data not compliant with QA/QC procedures</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>Non-spatial Data not compliant with QA/QC procedures</b> .....	<b>12</b>

## IMPORTANT NOTE

This QA/QC report is provided for information only. No additional delivery is required from the Member State. The reporting envelope is now closed and you are not able to upload any files.

However, National Data Coordinators are invited to use the Article 17 Consultation Tool to review the national data. The review process allows you to draw attention to new information or to significant errors in the Article 17 report. In doing so, the EU biogeographical assessments will be more accurate.

Please consult the background paper and user manual available at [http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/env/monnat/library?l=/habitats\\_reporting/reporting\\_2001-2007/internet\\_consultation&vm=detailed&sb=Title](http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/env/monnat/library?l=/habitats_reporting/reporting_2001-2007/internet_consultation&vm=detailed&sb=Title) to see how you can correct possible errors using the web tool (<http://biodiversity.eionet.europa.eu/article17>)

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED

- cf. Abbreviation for the Latin word *confer*, meaning "compare" or "consult"
- GML Geography Markup Language files submitted by Member States that contain spatial data
- XML Extensible Markup Language files submitted by Member States that contain descriptive data
- MAP Used to indicate the provided GML file (map), or to indicate the map of Natura 2000 site(s)
- \* If used after the filename it indicates the fact that ETC/BD had performed the correction.

Biogeographical regions:

- ALP Alpine
- ATL Atlantic
- BOR Boreal
- CON Continental
- MAC Macaronesia
- MATL Marine Atlantic
- MBAL Marine Baltic
- MED Mediterranean
- MMAC Marine Macaronesian
- MMED Marine Mediterranean
- PAN Pannonian

## GENERAL STATISTICS

### Number of reports provided

Reports on	Number
Habitats	57
Species	112
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>169</b>

Note: Habitats & species listed as marginal, occasional, etc. are not counted above (see the list below)

### List of reported species and habitats that were considered as marginal, occasional, extinct before Directive, etc.

MS species name or Habitat code	Region	Status abbrev.	Status
<i>Pteromys volans</i>	BOR	OCC	occasional, vagrant or marginal

### Number of issues identified during QA/QC process

Issues on	Errors	Missing	Incoherent	Not assessed
Non Spatial Data (XML)	91	10	2	3
Spatial Data (GML)	0	0	3	-

## GENERAL REPORT - Data not compliant with QA/QC procedures

### 3.1 Management tools - Art. 6(1) - Management plans and Management bodies

#### *Issue 31*

- 1 . The titles of the Management plans were not provided.  
The value indicating the number of sites with management bodies (18) is not equal to the number of sites listed in the table (21).

### 3.3 Management tools - Art. 6(1) - Non-territorial planning instruments

#### *Issue 33*

- 1 . The value of zero was given as the number of sites with non-territorial planning instruments. Explanatory comments were provided in other files, which stated that non-territorial planning instruments weren't applied in Latvia during the reporting period.

### 6. Measures taken in relation to approval of plans and projects - Art. 6(3,4)

#### *Issue 60*

- 1 . A value of zero was given for the number of projects for which compensation measures were necessary and Commission opinion was requested. No sites were listed in the relevant categories. The explanation of this issue that none of these measures was applied in Latvia during the reporting was given in the file submitted to the 'other files section'.

### 10.2 Measures taken to ensure the protection of species (Arts. 12 to 16) - Control systems for the incidental capture and killing of species (Art. 12(4))

#### *Issue 102*

- 1 . No answer was given for 'How does the control system ensure that there will not be a negative impact on the species?'

## HABITATS REPORTING - Errors detected by QA/QC procedure

### 2.5.1 Favourable reference habitat range

*Issue 17510 Reference value should not be lower than actual value, or use of qualifier "More than" or "Much more than" for reference value lower than actual value make the sub-conclusion doubtful according to Addendum #2 of the Explanatory Notes and Guidelines*

- 1 . habitatype-1220.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 124; reference value = 120)
- 2 . habitatype-2120.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 734; reference value >700)

### 2.5.2 Favourable reference habitat area

*Issue 17800 Reference value should not be lower than actual value, or use of qualifier "More than" or "Much more than" for reference value lower than actual value make the sub-conclusion doubtful according to Addendum #2 of the Explanatory Notes and Guidelines*

- 1 . habitatype-1210.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 0.54; reference value = 0.5)
- 2 . habitatype-1310.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 0.82; reference value = 0.8)
- 3 . habitatype-2130.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 12.8; reference value = 12)
- 4 . habitatype-2190.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 0.91; reference value = 0.9)
- 5 . habitatype-3140.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 110; reference value >100)
- 6 . habitatype-7120.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 600; reference value <500)
- 7 . habitatype-7220.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 0.13; reference value = 0.1)

### 2.6.1 Conclusion for habitat range

*Issue 18450 Conclusion unexpected according to provided values*

- 1 .habitatype-1150.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 67; favourable reference value: 67; trend: -; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 0%))
- 2 .habitatype-2120.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 734; favourable reference value: >700; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: -4.9%))

### 2.6.2 Conclusion for habitat area

*Issue 18550 Conclusion unexpected according to provided values*

- 1 .habitatype-2170.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 0.69; favourable reference value: 0.7; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 1.4%))
- 2 .habitatype-3140.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 110; favourable reference value: >100; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: -10%))
- 3 .habitatype-3160.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 25; favourable reference value: >25; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 0%))
- 4 .habitatype-3190.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 0.3; favourable reference value: 0.33; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 9.1%))
- 5 .habitatype-7110.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 1650; favourable reference value: >2150; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 23.3%))
- 6 .habitatype-9010.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 225; favourable reference value: 250; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 10%))
- 7 .habitatype-9020.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 25; favourable reference value: 30; trend: -; sub-conclusion: U1 (>10%: 16.7%))
- 8 .habitatype-9080.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 225; favourable reference value: 850; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 73.5%))
- 9 .habitatype-91E0.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 31; favourable reference value: 35; trend: -; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 11.4%))
- 10 .habitatype-91F0.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 8; favourable reference value: 10; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 20%))

## SPECIES REPORTING - Errors detected by QA/QC procedure

### 2.3.5 Species range trend magnitude - optional

*Issue 8250 Magnitude should not be smaller than actual value if trend is positive. If values are equal, this means that the value at the beginning of the trend period was zero. This is possible e.g. for new findings of species/habitat type or for new arriving species and the "other relevant information" field should reflect this*

- 1 .species-hyla-arborea.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 2246; trend: +; magnitude: 2246)

### 2.6 Future prospects for the species (not conclusion)

*Issue 12250 The future prospects (2.6) for the species are not in concordance with the conclusion on future prospects (2.8.4)*

- 1 .species-alosa-fallax.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 2 .species-angelica-palustris.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: U1)
- 3 .species-anthrochernes-stellae.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects:

- 4 . species-boros-schneideri.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 5 . species-botrychium-simplex.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Bad; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 6 . species-bufo-viridis.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 7 . species-canis-lupus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 8 . species-cinna-latifolia.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: U1)
- 9 . species-coregonus-albula.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 10 . species-coregonus-lavaretus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 11 . species-cucujus-cinnaberinus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 12 . species-dryomys-nitedula.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 13 . species-epstesicus-serotinus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 14 . species-halichoerus-grypus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 15 . species-lepus-timidus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 16 . species-linaria-loeselii.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: U1)
- 17 . species-margaritifera-margaritifera.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Bad; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: U1-)
- 18 . species-muscardinus-avellanarius.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 19 . species-myotis-brandtii.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 20 . species-myotis-dasychneme.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 21 . species-myotis-mystacinus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 22 . species-myotis-nattereri.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 23 . species-nyctalus-leisleri.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 24 . species-nyctalus-noctula.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 25 . species-pelecus-cultratus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 26 . species-phoca-hispida-bottnica.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 27 . species-phryganophilus-ruficollis.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 28 . species-pipistrellus-pipistrellus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 29 . species-plecotus-auritus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 30 . species-proserpinus-proserpina.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 31 . species-pulsatilla-patens.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: U1)
- 32 . species-stephanopachys-linearis.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 33 . species-stylurus-flavipes.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 34 . species-ursus-arctos.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Poor; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)
- 35 . species-vespertilio-murinus.xml (cf. BOR: 2.6 future prospects: Good; 2.8.4 conclusion future prospects: XX)

#### 2.7.1 Favourable reference species range

*Issue 12410 Reference value should not be lower than actual value, or use of qualifier "More than" or "Much more than" for reference value lower than actual value make the sub-conclusion doubtful according to Addendum #2 of the Explanatory Notes and Guidelines*

- 1 . species-canis-lupus.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 64589; reference value = 48900)
- 2 . species-epstesicus-nilssoni.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 64589; reference value = 60000)
- 3 . species-nyctalus-noctula.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 64589; reference value = 50000)
- 4 . species-vespertilio-murinus.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 64589; reference value = 40000)

### 2.7.2 Favourable reference species population

*Issue 12710 Reference value usually should not be lower than actual minimum value (or than maximum value if minimum was not provided). If lower values were correctly provided, an explanation is needed in the "complementary other information" field. If qualifiers "More than" or "Much more than" were used for a reference value that is lower than the actual value, this make the sub-conclusion doubtful according to Addendum #2 of the Explanatory Notes and Guidelines*

- 1 . species-bufo-viridis.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 42; reference value >40)
- 2 . species-castor-fiber.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 100000; reference value = 50000)
- 3 . species-hirudo-medicinalis.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 16; reference value >15)
- 4 . species-lacerta-agilis.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 93; reference value >90)
- 5 . species-martes-martes.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 20000; reference value >10000)
- 6 . species-ophiogomphus-cecilia.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 35; reference value = 23)
- 7 . species-rana-arvalis.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 96; reference value >90)
- 8 . species-rana-lessonae.xml (cf. BOR: actual value = 94; reference value >90)

### 2.8.1 Conclusion for species range

*Issue 13110 Conclusion unexpected according to provided values*

- 1 . species-alosa-fallax.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 3275; favourable reference value: 3375; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>10%: 3%))
- 2 . species-barbastella-barbastellus.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 39757; favourable reference value: 39757; trend: +; sub-conclusion: XX (>10%: 0%))
- 3 . species-buxbaumia-viridis.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 318; favourable reference value: 318; trend: =; sub-conclusion: XX (>10%: 0%))
- 4 . species-coronella-austriaca.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 3477; favourable reference value: 3477; trend: =; sub-conclusion: U1 (>10%: 0%))
- 5 . species-maculinea-teleius.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 2500; favourable reference value: 2500; trend: =; sub-conclusion: U1 (>10%: 0%))
- 6 . species-muscardinus-avellanarius.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 28506; favourable reference value: 40000; trend: =; sub-conclusion: U1 (>10%: 28.7%))
- 7 . species-pteromys-volans.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 2900; favourable reference value: >8000; trend: =; sub-conclusion: U1- (>10%: 63.8%))
- 8 . species-ursus-arctos.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 46000; favourable reference value: 64589; trend: =; sub-conclusion: U1+ (>10%: 28.8%))

### 2.8.2 Conclusion for species population

*Issue 13130 Conclusion unexpected according to provided values*

- 1 . species-aeshna-viridis.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 30; favourable reference value: >30; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: 0%))
- 2 . species-canis-lupus.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 400; favourable reference value: 400; trend: -; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: 0%))



- 3 . species-eptesicus-nilssonii.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 60000; favourable reference value: >30000; trend: X; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: -100%))
- 4 . species-hamatocaulis-lapponicus.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 6; favourable reference value: >10; trend: =; sub-conclusion: U1 (>25%: 40%))
- 5 . species-hirudo-medicinalis.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 16; favourable reference value: >15; trend: =; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: -6.7%))
- 6 . species-martes-martes.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 25000; favourable reference value: >10000; trend: +; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: -150%))
- 7 . species-myotis-dasychneme.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 10000; favourable reference value: >10000; trend: X; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: 0%))
- 8 . species-myotis-daubentonii.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 50000; favourable reference value: >30000; trend: +; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: -66.7%))
- 9 . species-phoca-hispida-bottnica.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 100; favourable reference value: 1000; trend: -; sub-conclusion: U1 (>25%: 90%))
- 10 . species-pipistrellus-nathusii.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 50000; favourable reference value: >50000; trend: +; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: 0%))
- 11 . species-plecotus-auritus.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 36000; favourable reference value: >12000; trend: X; sub-conclusion: FV (>25%: -200%))

*Issue 13137 Conclusion unexpected according to provided values, unless reproduction, mortality and age structure are deviating from normal even if population trend is still stable or increasing*

- 1 . species-angelica-palustris.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 20; favourable reference value: 20; trend: =; sub-conclusion: U1)
- 2 . species-pelecus-cultratus.xml (cf. BOR: actual value: 3; favourable reference value: 3; trend: =; sub-conclusion: XX)

#### 2.8.5 Conclusion for species overall assessment

*Issue 13300 Overall assessment not in line with sub-conclusions*

- 1 . species-maculinea-arion.xml (cf. BOR: sub-conclusions: FV; XX; FV; FV; overall conclusion: XX)

## SPECIES REPORTING - Assessments given as unknown or not provided for all parameters

#### 2.8.5 Conclusion for species overall assessment

*Issue 13350 All sub-conclusions and overall assessment were provided as unknown or not reported. This indicates poor knowledge of the species or an assessment not performed*

- 1 . species-eptesicus-serotinus.xml (cf. BOR: sub-conclusions: XX; XX; XX; XX; overall conclusion: XX)
- 2 . species-myotis-mystacinus.xml (cf. BOR: sub-conclusions: XX; XX; XX; XX; overall conclusion: XX)
- 3 . species-proserpinus-proserpina.xml (cf. BOR: sub-conclusions: XX; XX; XX; XX; overall conclusion: XX)

## HABITATS REPORTING - Mandatory data not reported or not following the agreed format

### 0. General issues

*Issue 13450 Annex I Habitat type listed in reference list but not reported at MS or biogeographical level. The respective XML and GML were not provided*

- 1 .7150 (cf. BOR: |No report provided for habitat|) (mentioned in 98 sites in BOR only)

*Issue 13460 Annex I Habitat type listed in reference list in several biogeographical regions but not reported in biogeographical regions where present according to the reference list*

- 1 .habitattype-1110.xml\* (cf. MBAL: |habitat not reported in biogeographical region|) (BOR changed into MBAL)
- 2 .habitattype-1170.xml\* (cf. MBAL: |habitat not reported in biogeographical region|) (BOR changed into MBAL)

### 2.5.3 Typical species for habitat

*Issue 18000 Mandatory information missing or species names likely to be misspelled or unknown to taxonomic references*

- 1 .habitattype-1230.xml (cf. BOR: |no value reported|)
- 2 .habitattype-7210.xml (cf. BOR: |no value reported|)
- 3 .habitattype-8310.xml (cf. BOR: |no value reported|)

## SPECIES REPORTING - Mandatory data not reported or not following the agreed format

### 2.5.2 Species habitat area estimation

*Issue 11150 Mandatory information missing, equal to 0, negative or not numeric. Any such values were not taken into account for the composite report*

- 1 .species-alosa-fallax.xml (cf. BOR: 0)
- 2 .species-barbastella-barbastellus.xml (cf. BOR: 0)
- 3 .species-myotis-brandtii.xml (cf. BOR: 0)
- 4 .species-myotis-mystacinus.xml (cf. BOR: 0)
- 5 .species-xylomoia-strix.xml (cf. BOR: 0)

### 2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species

*Issue 12900 Mandatory information missing, equal to 0, negative or not numeric. Any such values were not taken into account for the composite report*

- 1 .species-myotis-mystacinus.xml (cf. BOR: 0)
- 2 .species-xylomoia-strix.xml (cf. BOR: 0)

## HABITATS REPORTING - QA/QC indicates incoherence between spatial and non-spatial data or with other data officially submitted

### 0. General issues

*Issue 13470 Annex I habitat type reported but not listed in reference list at MS and Biogeographical level*

- 1 .habitattype-1110.xml\* (cf. BOR: |habitat not expected in biogeographical region|) (BOR changed into MBAL)
- 2 .habitattype-1170.xml\* (cf. BOR: |habitat not expected in biogeographical region|) (BOR changed into MBAL)

### 2.3.1 Surface range of the habitat

*Issue 14350 Range in the XML file shows a difference of more than 5 % from the range calculated from the GML file in the corresponding biogeographical region (not buffered for calculation of minimum values and buffered by 15 km for maximum values). This discrepancy can be due to spatial data*

*being generalized during creation of the GML*

- 1 .habitatype-1630.xml (cf. BOR: reported value: 5944; computed surface area from maps between: 447.9 - 448.2)
- 2 .habitatype-7210.xml (cf. BOR: reported value: 9556; computed surface area from maps between: 7022.7 - 7038.4)

## **SPECIES REPORTING - QA/QC indicates incoherence between spatial and non-spatial data or with other data officially submitted**

### **0. General issues**

*Issue 6870 Annex II species reported but unknown to reference list at MS or biogeographical level*

- 1 .species-halichoerus-grypus.xml\* (cf. BOR: |species not expected in biogeographical region|) (BOR changed into MBAL)
- 2 .species-phoca-hispida-bottnica.xml\* (cf. BOR: |species not expected in biogeographical region|) (BOR changed into MBAL)
- 3 .species-phocoena-phocoena.xml\* (cf. BOR: |species not expected in biogeographical region|) (BOR changed into MBAL)

### **1.2 Map of Species distribution**

*Issue 7250 The distribution provided does not include the following Natura 2000 sites in which the species is mentioned*

- 1 .map-distribution-spec-lamperta-planeri.gml (cf. MAP: LV0525900)
- 2 .map-distribution-spec-misgurnus-fossilis.gml (cf. MAP: LV0526000)
- 3 .map-distribution-spec-pulstatilla-patens.gml (cf. MAP: LV0302800)



40	species-cucujus-cinnaberinus.xml	1			
41	species-dryomys-nitedula.xml	1			
42	species-epitesicus-nilssoni.xml	2			
43	species-epitesicus-serotinus.xml	1			1
44	species-halichoerus-grypus.xml	1			
45	species-hamatocaulis-lapponicus.xml	1			
46	species-hirudo-medicinalis.xml	2			
47	species-hyla-arborea.xml	1			
48	species-lacerta-agilis.xml	1			
49	species-lepus-timidus.xml	1			
50	species-linaria-loeselii.xml	1			
51	species-maculinea-arion.xml	1			
52	species-maculinea-teleius.xml	1			
53	species-margaritifera-margaritifera.xml	1			
54	species-martes-martes.xml	2			
55	species-muscardinus-avellanarius.xml	2			
56	species-myotis-brandtii.xml	1	1		
57	species-myotis-dasycneme.xml	2			
58	species-myotis-daubentonii.xml	1			
59	species-myotis-mystacinus.xml	1	2		1
60	species-myotis-nattereri.xml	1			
61	species-nyctalus-leisleri.xml	1			
62	species-nyctalus-noctula.xml	2			
63	species-ophiogomphus-cecilia.xml	1			
64	species-pelecus-cultratus.xml	2			
65	species-phoca-hispida-bottnica.xml	2			
66	species-phryganophilus-ruficollis.xml	1			
67	species-pipistrellus-nathusii.xml	1			
68	species-pipistrellus-pipistrellus.xml	1			
69	species-plecotus-auritus.xml	2			
70	species-proserpinus-proserpina.xml	1			1
71	species-pteromys-volans.xml	1			
72	species-pulsatilla-patens.xml	1			
73	species-rana-arvalis.xml	1			
74	species-rana-lessonae.xml	1			
75	species-stephanopachys-linearis.xml	1			
76	species-stylurus-flavipes.xml	1			
77	species-ursus-arctos.xml	2			
78	species-vespertilio-murinus.xml	2			
79	species-xylomoia-strix.xml		2		
		<i>Errors</i>	<i>Missing</i>	<i>Incoherent</i>	<i>Not assessed</i>
<u><i>XML Total number of issues :</i></u>		<u>91</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>