



## 7310 *Aapa mires*

---

<b>Habitat code</b>	7310
<b>Priority</b>	Yes
<b>Habitat group</b>	Bogs, mires & fens
<b>Regions</b>	Alpine, Boreal

Within the European Union, Aapa mires are only found in the northern Boreal region and the adjacent part of the Alpine region. They are complexes of several types of mire such as string fens, flarks and unraised bog moss (*Sphagnum* species) dominated bogs.


Assessed as "unfavourable inadequate" for the Boreal region with structure & function and future prospects considered poor in both Finland and Sweden, with area also considered poor in Finland. Threats and pressures are mostly related to inappropriate land use and management, including drainage.

Assessed as "favourable" for the Alpine region.

# Habitat: 7310 *Aapa mires*

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

## Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level




Region	Conservation status (CS) of parameters				Current CS	Trend in CS	% in region	Previous CS	Reason for change
	Range	Area	Structure & Functions	Future prospects					
ALP	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		18	FV	
BOR	FV	U1	U1	U1	U1	-	82	U1	

See the endnote for more information<sup>1</sup>

# Habitat: 7310 *Aapa mires*








Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

## Assessment of conservation status at the Member State level



### **Habitat: 7310**

Distribution and conservation status at the Member State level

- |   |  |
|---|--|
|  Favourable                |  EU Member States       |
|  Unfavourable – inadequate |  Outside data coverage  |
|  Unfavourable – bad        |  Biogeographical region |
|  Unknown                   |  |

The map shows both Conservation Status and distribution using a 10 km x 10 km grid. Conservation status is assessed at biogeographical level. Therefore the representation in each grid cell is only illustrative.

# Habitat: 7310 *Aapa mires*

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

MS	Region	Conservation status (CS) of parameters				Current CS	Trend in CS	% in region	Previous CS	Reason for change
		Range	Area	Structure & functions	Future prospects					
FI	ALP	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		22.6	FV	
SE	ALP	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		77.4	FV	
FI	BOR	FV	U1	U1	U1	U1	-	47.6	U1	
SE	BOR	FV	FV	U1	U1	U1	=	52.4	U1	

Knowing that not all changes in conservation status between the reporting periods were genuine, Member States were asked to give the reasons for changes in conservation status. Bulgaria and Romania only joined the EU in 2007 and Greece did not report for 2007-12 so no reason is given for change for these countries. Greek data shown above is from 2001-06.

## Main pressures and threats reported by Member States

Member States were asked to report the 20 most important threats and pressures using an agreed hierarchical list which can be found on the [Article 17 Reference Portal](#). Pressures are activities which are currently having an impact on the habitats and threats are activities expected to have an impact in the near future. Pressures and threats were ranked in three classes 'high, medium and low importance'; the tables below only show threats and pressures classed as 'high', for some habitats there were less than ten threats or pressures reported as highly important.

### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' pressures

Code	Activity	Frequency
B07	Other forestry activities	50
J02	Changes in water bodies conditions	50

### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' threats

Code	Activity	Frequency
B07	Other forestry activities	50
J02	Changes in water bodies conditions	50

## Proportion of population covered by the Natura 2000 network

Member States were asked to report the area of the habitat which is covered by the Natura 2000 network. The percentage of the habitat area covered by the network was estimated by comparing the area within the network and the total area in the biogeographical/marine region.

### Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region

	ALP	BOR
FI	80	30
SE	96	18

See the endnotes for more information<sup>ii</sup>

## Most frequently reported conservation measures

Member States were asked to report up to 20 conservation measures being implemented for this habitat using an agreed list which can be found on the Article 17 Reference Portal. Member States were further requested to highlight up to five most important ('highly important') measures; the table below only shows measures classed as 'high', for many habitats there were less than ten measures reported as highly important.

### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' conservation measures

Code	Measure	Frequency
6.1	Establish protected areas/sites	33
4.2	Restoring/improving the hydrological regime	17
6.2	Establishing wilderness areas/ allowing succession	17
6.3	Legal protection of habitats and species	17
7.4	Specific single species or species group management measures	17

This information is derived from the Member State national reports submitted to the European Commission under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive in 2013 and covering the period 2007-2012. More detailed information, including the MS reports, is available at:

<http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/article17/reports2012/habitat/summary/?group=Bogs%2C+mires+%26+fens&period=3&subject=7310>

# Habitat: 7310 *Aapa mires*

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

**i Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level:** Current Conservation Status (Current CS) shows the status for the reporting period 2007-2012, Previous Conservation Status (Previous CS) for the reporting period 2000-2006. Reason for change in conservation status between the reporting periods indicates whether the changes in the status were genuine or not genuine. Previous Conservation Status was not assessed for Steppic, Black Sea and Marine Black Sea regions. For these regions the Previous status is therefore considered as 'unknown'. The percentage of the habitat area occurring within the biogeographical/marine region (% in region) is calculated based on the area of GIS distribution.

**ii Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region:** In some cases the population size within the Natura 2000 network has been estimated using a different methodology to the estimate of overall population size and this can lead to percentage covers greater than 100%. In such case the value has been given as 100% and highlighted with an asterisk (\*). The value 'x' indicates that the Member State has not reported the habitat area and/or the coverage by Natura 2000. No information is available for Greece. The values are only provided for regions, in which the occurrence of the habitat has been reported by the Member States.