Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive Period 2007-2012

# **European Environment Agency** *European Topic Centre on Biological Diversity*



## Pholidoptera transsylvanica

Annex II, IV Priority No

**Species group** Arthropods

**Regions** Alpine, Continental, Pannonian

A bush-cricket occurring mainly in the south-eastern Europe. The natural range reaches its northern boarder in Eastern Slovakia and the centre of the distribution lies in the Romanian Carpathians and Transylvania. It is a species of mesophile grasslands, dry shrubs and forest fringes. It occurs on stands with dense and tall vegetation.


The conservations status is "Favourable" in the Alpine and Continental region, but "Unfavourable Inadequate" in th Pannonian region, due to Future prospects in Hungary.

Main threats are bad or lacking management of grasslands.

Changes in overall conservation status between 2001-06 and 2007-12 report are mostly caused by different methodical approach and better data rather than real change in conservation status in Alpine region. No changes in overall conservation status between 2001-06 and 2007-12 reports in Pannonian region. The species was not reported from Continental region 2001-06.

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

## Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level




_	Conservation status (CS) of parameters				Current	Trend in	% in	Previous	Reason for
Region	Range	Population	Habitat	Future prospects	CS	CS	region	CS	change
ALP	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		69	U1	Not genuine
CON	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		8	XX	Not genuine
PAN	FV	FV	FV	U1	U1	=	23	U1	

See the endnote for more information<sup>i</sup>

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

#### Assessment of conservation status at the Member State level



The map shows both Conservation Status and distribution using a  $10 \text{ km} \times 10 \text{ km}$  grid. Conservation status is assessed at biogeographical level. Therefore the representation in each grid cell is only illustrative.

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

MS Region		Conservation status of parameters				Current	Trend in	% in	Previous	Reason
		Range	Population	Population Habitat Future prospec		CS	CS	region	CS	for change
RO	ALP	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		54.5		
SK	ALP	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		45.5	U1	Better data
RO	CON	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		100.0		
HU	PAN	FV	FV	FV	U1	U1	=	72.7	U1	
SK	PAN	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		27.3		

Knowing that not all changes in conservation status between the reporting periods were genuine, Member States were asked to give the reasons for changes in conservation status. Bulgaria and Romania only joined the EU in 2007 and Greece did not report for 2007-12 so no reason is given for change for these countries. Greek data shown above is from 2001-06.

## Main pressures and threats reported by Member States

Member States were asked to report the 20 most important threats and pressures using an agreed hierarchical list which can be found on the Article 17 Reference Portal. Pressures are activities which are currently having an impact on the species and threats are activities expected to have an impact in the near future. Pressures and threats were ranked in three classes 'high, medium and low importance'; the tables below only show threats and pressures classed as 'high', for some species there were less than ten threats or pressures reported as highly important.

#### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' pressures

Code	Activity	Frequency
A03	Mowing or cutting grasslands	50
K02	Vegetation succession/Biocenotic evolution	50

#### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' threats

Code	Activity	Frequency
A03	Mowing or cutting grasslands	50
K02	Vegetation succession/Biocenotic evolution	50

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

## Proportion of population covered by the Natura 2000 network

For species listed in the Annex II of the Directive Member States were asked to report the population size within the Natura 2000 network. The percentage of species population covered by the network was estimated by comparing the population size within the network and the total population size in the biogeographical/marine region.

#### Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region

	ALP	CON	PAN
HU			100
RO	100	100	
SK	20		45

See the endnotes for more information ii

## Most frequently reported conservation measures

For species listed in the Annex II of the Directive Member States were asked to report up to 20 conservation measures being implemented for this species using an agreed list which can be found on the Article 17 Reference Portal. Member States were further requested to highlight up to five most important ('highly important') measures; the table below only shows measures classed as 'high', for many species there were less than ten measures reported as highly important.

#### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' conservation measures

Code	Measure	Frequency
6.1	Establish protected areas/sites	40
2.1	Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats	20
6.3	Legal protection of habitats and species	20
7.0	Other species management measures	20

This information is derived from the Member State national reports submitted to the European Commission under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive in 2013 and covering the period 2007-2012. More detailed information, including the MS reports, is available at: http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/article17/reports2012/species/summary/? group=Arthropods&period=3&subject=Pholidoptera+transsylvanica

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level: Current Conservation Status (Current CS) shows the status for the reporting period 2007-2012, Previous Conservation Status (Previous CS) for the reporting period 2000-2006. Reason for change in conservation status between the reporting periods indicates whether the changes in the status were genuine or not genuine. Previous Conservation Status was not assessed for Steppic, Black Sea and Marine Black Sea regions. For these regions the Previous status is therefore considered as 'unknown'. The percentage of the species population occurring within the biogeographical/marine region (% in region) is calculated based on the area of GIS distribution.

iiPercentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region: In some cases the population size within the Natura 2000 network has been estimated using a different methodology to the estimate of overall population size and this can lead to percentage covers greater than 100%. In such case the value has been given as 100% and highlighted with an asterisk (\*). The value 'x' indicates that the Member State has not reported the species population and/or the coverage by Natura 2000. No information is available for Greece. The values are only provided for regions, in which the occurrence of the species has been reported by the Member States.