

Reporting in plenary from breakout groups

Adaptation policies in transnational regions in Europe

Participants:

6 NRCs + 2 EEA + 1 ETC/CCA



(Q3) Providing support to the work on transnational regions

“Taking into consideration the annotated outline of the ETC/CCA Technical Paper, what are your views on the focus, objectives and approach of the EEA work on this topic? “

Discussions and outcomes:

- The scope and approach of the TP has been appreciated from the participants.
- Interreg projects generate bureaucracy burden.
- Very timely information to update the Climate-ADAPT transnational pages.

Suggestions and recommendations:

- Next review should reduce redundancies, found in the current TP outline (due to the overlaps of the regions).
- cross-reading is already planned for the next weeks.
- Need for a similar work and overview by biogeographic region specific to some typology, such as the mountain region, the Mediterranean area, the Atlantic coast,

(Q1) Focus, objective and approach of work on transnational regions

“Which EEA activities within this topic have a high priority from your perspective?”

Suggestions and recommendations:

Check of coherency between impacts and programme. (Some gaps have been already identified and some recommendations to close the will be provided in the TP).

(Q2) activities with high priority within topic of transnational regions

“Are you interested to provide some support (e.g. reviewing the outline and Technical Paper) to this EEA work?”

Discussions and outcomes

- Positive feedback from the participants.
- Carpathian Convention will disseminate the paper to their working groups
- OPCC will disseminate and review the paper through their network
- Final maps (and URLs) with boundaries agreed (Carpathian Convention) or to be corrected (EUSALP)

Suggestions and recommendations:

- We need further support from the rest of the EIONET to review the 2nd draft of the TP.